

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/981,402	10/17/2001	Yoshihiro Satoh	N32040200W	6789	
7590 12/30/2005			EXAMINER		
Darryl G. Walker			RICHARDS, N DREW		
WALKER & SAKO, LLP Suite 235			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
300 South First Street			2815		
San Jose, CA 95113			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/981,402	SATOH, YOSHIHIRO	SATOH, YOSHIHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit			
N. Drew Richards	2815			

	Examiner	Art Unit					
	N. Drew Richards	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 16 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the							
following time periods:  The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(in Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on open filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montherarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	PTE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		jected ciairis.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		'	,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 2.		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7-20</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessato.</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).				
TO. [1] The anidavit of other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	oneu.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13.		N. Drew Richards AU 2815					

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1 includes the limitation of the contact being in physical contact with a diffusion layer. This is a newly presented limitation that requires further search and consideration.